

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,546	09/09/2003	Gaines Thomas Ray	6288P001	3061
75	90 07/13/2006		EXAM	INER
R. Keith Harrison- Patent Agent 2139 E. Bert Kouns			BARNEY, SETH E	
Shreveport, LA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3752	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*	10/659,546	RAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
_	Seth Barney	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			ess
Period for Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this command the comman co	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 April 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,8-33 and 35 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6,7 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a) accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1).⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings were received on April 25, 2006. These drawings are not acceptable.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show how the medium travels from the inner chamber to the exit orifice as described in the specification. It appears from Figure 2 that there is no passage for the medium to exit the inner nozzle. The inner nozzle is currently drawn as a solid object without any passage or orifice leading to the adjustable opening. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 6,7, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. There is no disclosure as to how the medium travels from the inner nozzle to the adjustable opening. The inner nozzle appears to be a single solid piece that encompasses the medium and the piston and there is neither a drawing nor description as to how the medium exits to the adjustable opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 6,7, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

invention. There is no disclosure as to how the medium travels from the inner nozzle to the adjustable opening. The inner nozzle appears to be a single solid piece that encompasses the medium and the piston and there is neither a drawing nor description as to how the medium exits to the adjustable opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claim 6, 7, and 34, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,174,068 to Rudolph in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,216,630 to Stull.

Regarding claims 6 and 34, Rudolph discloses a cartridge comprising: a nozzle having a tapered nozzle apex(14) deposed at one end of the cartridge, a nozzle tip (37)

having an orifice, an inner chamber, and a piston (15) to push against the inner chamber. See Figure 1. Rudolph dose not disclose having a nozzle apex that is adapted to extend completely through the orifice of the nozzle tip when the nozzle tip engages the cartridge and the orifice is adjustable in size by threading the nozzle tip on the nozzle. It is old and well known in the art to use such an arrangement to selectively close a nozzle orifice. Stull discloses a nozzle apex (36) that extends completely through nozzle orifice (54) such that the orifice is adjusted in size. Stull further discloses nozzle threads (32,72) to allow for the adjustment. See Figures 2 and 3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the nozzle and nozzle tip of Rudolph to have the arrangement disclosed by Stull in order for the user to adjustably control the amount of medium being dispensed by the cartridge.

Regarding claim 7, the cartridge further comprises an opening in the area to allow air pressure to enter the cartridge. See Figure 1.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to nozzles have adjustable orifices by having a projection completely extend through the orifice: Ito, Stull, Paulsen, Lohrman, Sand et al., Niksich et al., Shepard, and Froidevaux. The following patent is cited to show the art with respect to a cartridge being activated by a piston and air pressure: Obrist.

Page 6

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri), first friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,546

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Seth Barney Examiner

Art Unit 3752

sb

David A. Scherbel **Supervisory Patent Examiner** Page 7

Group 3700